A multi-scale view of the ocean along a 3,000 km Atlantic salmon post-smolt migration corridor

Emma Tyldesley, Neil Banas, Colin Bull & Graeme Diack

Rivers







A Likely Suspects Framework case study

LSF Work Package 4:

Using **freshwater** and **marine** data to develop **indicators** for marine mortality during **early marine** phase.

First part: prey availability.



Bull et al., 2022

Case study rivers





The rivers show synchrony in their returns













=> zooplankton prey energy.

Zooplankton prey energy has declined over large parts of the NE Atlantic



Larger spatial context of change.

Note: Trend only calculated where there are 40+ years of CPR data including pre-1980 and post-2000.

Trend is *m*, where $\log_{10}(\text{energy}+1) \sim \mathbf{m}^*$ year + *c*

· indicates p<0.05

Zooplankton energy has declined in salmon post-smolt migration space-time domains



Zooplankton prey energy *during migration* is correlated with salmon returns



- West cluster returns strongly correlated with Z energy west of UK and Ireland.
- East cluster returns strongly correlated with Z energy along North Sea route.



 South cluster returns not correlated with Z energy in any part of the migration route.

Zooplankton prey energy *during migration* is correlated with salmon returns



- West cluster returns strongly correlated with Z energy west of UK and Ireland.
- East cluster returns strongly correlated with Z energy along North Sea route.



Ecosystem-integrated zooplankton prey energy is correlated with salmon returns



And some negative results...

South returns not significantly correlated with any version of Z energy. Why not?



Low time series overlap in **Norwegian Sea**. Shared feeding area. Critical data gap!



The challenge - what explains variability and trends in zooplankton energy?

For example:

- SST has increased
- (but not along most of migration route)

Use of ocean model hindcast AMM7 NEMO-ERSEM:

- Physical biogeochemical
- ~7 km resolution
- Hosted by Copernicus (CMEMS)
- 1993-2021



The challenge - what explains variability and trends in zooplankton energy?

For example:

- The spring phytoplankton bloom has got earlier and longer
- Summer chl has declined and phytoplankton community size composition may be shifting (e.g. Schmidt *et al.*, 2020)



The challenge - what explains variability and trends in zooplankton energy?

For example:

• Shifting influences of water masses and associated zooplankton assemblages



Conclusion: zooplankton prey energy could be a powerful indicator of changes in salmon returns



Making predictions:

Can we develop a practical forecasting ability on year-to-year timescale and under climate-scenario projections?

Thank you for listening!

The Likely Suspects Framework is a **Missing Salmon Alliance** project (<u>https://missingsalmonalliance.org</u>).

Thank you to these organisations for smolt run timing and returns data:

Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute; Irish Marine Institute; Inland Fisheries Ireland; Environment Agency; Marine Scotland Science; Cefas; Natural Resources Wales; Game and Wildlife Trust; National Research Institute for Agriculture; Food and Environment France.

Thank you to David Johns for CPR data.

References:

Bull *et al.* (2022). The likely suspects framework: the need for a life cycle approach for managing Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) stocks across multiple scales. ICES Journal of Marine Science 79(5):1445–1456

Olmos *et al.* (2019). Spatial synchrony in the response of a long range migratory species (*Salmo salar*) to climate change in the North Atlantic Ocean. Global Change Biology 26:1319-1337

Olin *et al.* (2022). Spatio-temporal variation in food conditions for lesser sandeels: species and community trait patterns from the Continuous Plankton Recorder in the north-east Atlantic. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 79(5):1649–1661

<u>The Missing</u> Salmon Alliance











